

Determining Optimal Vehicle Replacement Cycles and Specifications for Higher Residual Values

Indianapolis, IN March 16, 2017



About the Instructor

Steve Saltzgiver, BSBM, MAOM, CAFS Manager, Mercury Associates Inc.

- More than 38 years' experience
- Fleet management consultant
- Served as a Journey Technician at Utah Transit Authority, local and state government fleet manager (City of West Jordan, States' of Utah and Georgia)
- Successfully transitioned from wrench tuner to manager of a \$1.5 billion (annual capital and operating budget), 50,000-unit fleet
- Former Vice President of Fleet Operations at Republic Services, Coca-Cola over 43 states and Canada
- NCSFA Distinguished Service Award, 2009
- Honda Leadership Award, 2005





Session Learning Objectives

Learn how:

- Vehicle technology advancements are driving up life cycle costs by 25-30%.
- Utilizing a selective approach to specifications and developing empirical life cycles, fleet organizations can proactively reduce overall operating costs.
- Modeling costs associated with acquiring, operating and disposing of an individual vehicle class over various replacement cycles, and then determining which cycle results in lowest total cost of ownership.
- Specific strategies and specification techniques for creating optimal life cycle standards to reduce total cost of ownership over the life of a given vehicle asset class.



VIERC

Determining Optimal Vehicle Replacement Cycles and Specifications for Higher Residual Values

All you need to know.

- Purchase "white" vehicles with moderately spec'd option packages
- Set and enforce policies and procedures for employees to care for vehicles
- Maintain vehicles in accordance with the OEM's recommended maintenance and service interval guidelines
- Keep vehicles only during its optimally recommended life cycle
- De-logo, fix minor problems, and dispose vehicles in the fall or spring when residual values are at their peak
- Sell vehicles to your employee's for the highest return



Some Spec'ing Questions to Consider

- What assets should be purchased?
- When should assets be purchased?
- What upfitter should be used?
- When should assets be sold?
- What are the market supply and demand factors?
- What asset color should be purchased?
- What asset options should be selected?



Growing Technological Complexity of Fleet Assets

- In the early 1980s, vehicles with embedded computers had upwards of 50,000 lines of software code; vehicles in 2016 generally have more than 100 million lines of code
- Increasing demand for more and varied features
- Items once considered options only available on luxury models eventually will be incorporated across model lines
- The trend of ever expanding electronic functionality shows no sign of slowing down, continued progress in vehicle automation further accelerates the complexity and dependence on embedded controllers
- For many fleet owner employees, their vehicle is far and away the most sophisticated electronic device they operate to perform their job functions and missions



V = R(

Why are Modern Vehicles Costs Higher?



Driver support

Navigation

THE WORK

TRUCK

- Collision warning/avoidance
- Augmented vision

System automation

- Dynamic EV charging
- Computer control of engine, brakes, etc.





lanage My Files

Telematics

- Remote control (locks, start)
- Remote diagnostics
- Remote repair (updates)



Content and communication

- Voice and data
- Information and entertainment





Copyright © 2016 Mercury Associates, Inc. All rights reserved

Technology and Regulations Driving Up Asset TCO



Examples of emissions complexity:

THE WORK

TRUCK

System	Part Description	l Em	Pre- ission	Post- Emission		
Emissions/Exhaust	Muffler vs. Diesel Particulate Filter Assembly	\$	95	\$ 4,719		
After Treatment	Diesel Particulate Filter Only	\$	-	\$ 2,258		
	Turbo vs. Variable Geometry Turbo	\$	967	\$ 3,294		
	EGR cooler vs. no EGR cooler	\$	-	\$ 1,096		
Engine	Fuel Injector E6 vs. MP7	\$	106	\$ 695		
	Cylinder Head E6 vs MP7	\$ 1	1,779	\$ 3,674		

- Government emissions regulations
 and new engine technologies
 introduced between 2007 and
 2010 continue to increase truck
 maintenance costs
- On average, a post-2007 emissions asset costs 25% to maintain when it comes off warranty
- Capital costs are up 30% since 2007 emissions regulations
- Parts costs and total number of parts on vehicles needing to be replaced is expanding
- Need to mitigate cost impact for training technicians on new technologies to higher cost 3rd party repair vendors and downtime





7

Principles of Vehicle Fleet Replacement



- Empirically validated vehicle replacement cycle guidelines (ORCA), using historical vehicle cost data minimizing total cost of ownership (TCO)
- 2. Long-term fleet replacement plan using recommended replacement cycles that predicts costs
- 3. Capital financing method that provides sufficient funds yearly to acquire replacement vehicles in accordance with the replacement plan
- Budgeting process enabling fleet organizations to consistently secure the amount of funds needed to adhere to the replacement plan





Optimal Replacement Cycle EXAMPLE: 6-7 YEARS = Lowest TCO*

Replacement Cycle (years)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Year-End Odometer Reading	12,272	24,544	36,816	49,088	61,360	73,632	85,904	98,176	110,448	122,720
CAPITAL COST										
ar-End Fair Market Value Percentage	78.90%	67.10%	57.00%	48.50%	41.20%	35.00%	29.80%	25.30%	21.50%	18.30%
Year-End Fair Market Value	\$275,052	\$233,795	\$198,726	\$168,918	\$1 43,581	\$122,044	\$1 03,738	\$88,178	\$74,951	\$63,709
Annual Capital Cost	\$ 73,489	\$41,257	\$35,068	\$29,808	\$25,337	\$21,537	\$1 8,306	\$15,560	\$13,226	\$11,242
OPERATING COSTS										
Annual M&R Cost	\$21,884	\$24,910	\$28,355	\$32,276	\$36,739	\$41,820	\$47,603	\$54,186	\$ 61,680	\$70,209
Annual Fuel Cost	\$20,985	\$21,833	\$22,715	\$23,633	\$24,588	\$25,581	\$26,615	\$27,690	\$28,809	\$29,973
Total Annual Operating Cost	\$42,869	\$46,743	\$51,070	\$55,909	\$ 61,327	\$67,401	\$74,218	\$81,876	\$ 90,489	\$100,182
Avg Annual Operating Cost (2014\$)	\$42,869	\$44,125	\$45,463	\$46,888	\$48,408	\$50,030	\$ 51,763	\$53,614	\$55,594	\$57,713
TOTAL COST										
Annual Total Cost	\$116,358	\$88,000	\$86,138	\$85,717	\$86,664	\$ 88,938	\$ 92,524	\$97,437	\$103,715	\$111,425
Cumulative Total Cost	\$116,358	\$204,358	\$290,496	\$376,214	\$462,878	\$551,816	\$ 644,340	\$741,777	\$845,492	\$956,917
Equivalent Annual Cost	\$116,358	\$1 02,389	\$97,131	\$94,403	\$92,945	\$92,326	\$92,352	\$92,924	\$ 93,986	\$95,507

*Refuse Truck





Copyright © 2016 Mercury Associates, Inc. All rights reserved.

Lowest TCO

Optimal Replacement Cycle EXAMPLE: 7-8 YEARS = Lowest TCO*

Replacement Cycle in Years:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Accumulated mileage at replacement	3,865	7,730	11,595	15,460	19,325	23,190	27,055	30,920	34,785	38,650	42,515	46,380
CAPITAL COST												
Projected Residual Value	\$ 71,187	\$ 58,625	\$ 50,250	\$ 46,062	\$ 41,875	\$ 39,362	\$ 36,850	\$ 34,337	\$ 31,825	\$ 29,312	\$ 26,800	\$ 24,287
Annual Depreciation	\$ 12,562	\$ 12,562	\$ 8,375	\$ 4,187	\$ 4,187	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512
Total Annual Capital Cost	\$ 12,562	\$ 12,562	\$ 8,375	\$ 4,187	\$ 4,187	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,512
OPERATING COST												
Annual Maintenance and Repair Cost	\$ 171	\$ 551	\$ 1,106	\$ 1,828	\$ 2,718	\$ 3,778	\$ 5,015	\$ 6,434	\$ 8,044	\$ 9,853	\$ 11,871	\$ 14,109
Annual Fuel Cost	\$ 2,380	\$ 2,476	\$ 2,576	\$ 2,679	\$ 2,787	\$ 2,900	\$ 3,017	\$ 3,138	\$ 3,265	\$ 3,396	\$ 3,533	\$ 3,675
Total Annual Operating Cost	\$ 2,551	\$ 3,027	\$ 3,681	\$ 4,507	\$ 5,505	\$ 6,678	\$ 8,031	\$ 9,572	\$ 11,308	\$ 13,249	\$ 15,404	\$ 17,784
TOTAL ASSET COST												
Annual Total Cost	\$ 15,113	\$ 15,589	\$ 12,056	\$ 8,695	\$ 9,692	\$ 9,190	\$ 10,544	\$ 12,084	\$ 13,821	\$ 15,761	\$ 17,916	\$ 20,297
NPV of Cumulative Annual Total Cost	\$ 14,258	\$ 28,132	\$ 38,255	\$ 45,142	\$ 52,384	\$ 58,863	\$ 65,875	<u>\$ 73,457</u>	\$ 81,638	\$ 90,439	\$ 99,877	\$109,964
Equivalent Annual Cost	\$ 14,686	\$ 14,702	\$ 13,524	\$ 12,144	\$ 11,438	\$ 10,866	\$ 10,573	\$ 10,464	\$ 10,485	\$ 10,602	\$ 10,794	\$ 11,047

*Dump Truck







Example: Optimal Life Cycles

Asset Types:

- 1. Tractors Sleeper Cab, OTR = <u>4-5 Years</u>
- 2. Sweepers = <u>3-5 Years</u>
- 3. Refuse Trucks = <u>7-8 Years</u>
- 4. Straight Truck S/A Day Cab, DSD = <u>6-7 Years</u>
- 5. Straight Truck T/A Day Cab, DSD = <u>5-6 Years</u>
- 6. Trailers = <u>7-10 Years</u>
- 7. Sedans = <u>4-5 Years</u>
- 8. Pickup trucks = <u>6-7 Years</u>
- 9. SUVs = <u>5-6 Years</u>
- 10. Vans = <u>6-8 Years</u>

Resources: ATRI, Navistar, Penske, Industry articles, Mercury fleet manager experience, and client data.





Best Practices Ensuring Higher Residual Values

- Establish an annual specification committee comprised of company stakeholders with OEM participation
 - ✓ Research new innovation and options
 - ✓ Solicit vehicle operator's feedback
- Evaluate the asset needs versus wants and use financial modeling to justify costly options
- Set optimal lifecycles based on empirical TCO data
- Sell vehicles when they reach their optimal life cycles
- Partner with a "Remarketing" company who understands the market and uses various selling channels to maximize values
- Use standard metrics to measure and track disposal and adjust for continuous improvement



Ensuring Higher Values - Remarketing Metrics

- Number of days from pick up to sale date
- Number of days to pick up from notification
- Total Days to sell / Compared to industry
- Salvage Value Relative to Published Wholesale Price (85-90%)
- Average sale price by year/type
- Percent of Sale price versus total fees (5-8%)
- Percent of sell price by sale method (auction, employee sale, scrap, etc.)
- Sale price by geography/type. (Regions)
- Sale price by make/model/color
- Sale price by time/year
- Percent return by type compared to industry guide (blue book, black book AMR)
- Sell price comparison proceeds in other markets. (Benchmark to other fleets)





Parting Thoughts: Entropy - Second Law Of Thermodynamics

Definition: Gradual decline into chaos or disorder



- Assets are subject to the effects of entropy
- Assets must be maintained properly to avoid entropy
- Assets must be used continuously (not sit idle) to prevent entropy.



14

Parting Thoughts: Asset Rule of "Always"

- Assets are <u>always</u> impacted by "Entropy": The second law of thermodynamics
- Assets <u>always</u> depreciate (sit or not)
- Assets must *always* be tracked in a system
- Assets are <u>always</u> worth more today than tomorrow
- Assets <u>always</u> consume space (i.e., parking, etc.)
- Assets values <u>always</u> correspond to market timing (Purchase and resale)
- Asset residual values <u>always</u> depend on the specifications





For More Information

Steven Saltzgiver Salt Lake City, UT 801 702 7288 ssaltzgiver@mercury-assoc.com

www.mercury-assoc.com





Copyright © 2016 Mercury Associates, Inc. All rights reserved.